

Natural connections on the bundle of Riemannian metrics

R. FERREIRO PÉREZ AND J. MUÑOZ MASQUÉ

Instituto de Física Aplicada, CSIC

C/ Serrano 144, 28006-Madrid

E-mail: roberto@iec.csic.es, jaime@iec.csic.es

Abstract

Let $FM, \mathcal{M}_M$ be the bundles of linear frames and Riemannian metrics of a manifold M , respectively. The existence of a unique $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection form on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M \times_M FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$, which is Riemannian with respect to the universal metric on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M \times_M TM$, is proved. Applications to the construction of universal Pontryagin and Euler forms, are given.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: Primary 53A55; Secondary 53B05, 53B21, 57R20, 58A20, 58D19.

Key words and phrases: Bundle of metrics, linear frame bundles, natural connections, universal Pontryagin forms.

Acknowledgements. Supported by the Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia of Spain, under grant #BFM2002-00141.

1 Introduction

Let $q: \mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow M$ be the bundle of Riemannian metrics of a smooth manifold M of dimension n . The goal of this paper is to prove that the bundle $q_1^*FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$, obtained by pulling the linear frame bundle FM back to the 1-jet bundle of metrics, is endowed with a unique $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection form ω —called the universal Levi-Civita connection—with the property of being Riemannian with respect to the universal metric $\mathbf{g}$ on q_1^*TM ; or equivalently, ω is the only $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection form on the subbundle OM of pairs $(u_x, j_x^1g) \in q_1^*FM$ such that u_x is g_x -orthonormal (see Theorem 5.1 and the precise definitions below). This result is analogous to that proving the existence of a canonical connection on the principal G -bundle $J^1P \rightarrow C(P)$, where $C(P)$ is the bundle of connections of a principal G -bundle $P \rightarrow M$ (see [2]).

As is well known (e.g., see [4]), the Levi-Civita map, which assigns its Levi-Civita connection to every Riemannian metric, is a natural map, i.e., it is $\text{Diff}M$ -equivariant with respect to the natural actions of the diffeomorphism

group on the space of Riemannian metrics on M and on the space of linear connections on M . This map induces a $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection form ω_{hor} on $q_1^*FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$, called the horizontal Levi-Civita connection as it is horizontal with respect to the projection $q_1^*FM = J^1\mathcal{M}_M \times_M FM \rightarrow FM$; but ω_{hor} is not a Riemannian connection, i.e., it is not reducible to OM . Surprisingly, ω is obtained by adding a contact form to ω_{hor} , thus showing that the contact structure on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ plays a crucial role in our construction.

The connection form ω allows us to construct the universal Pontryagin and Euler differential forms on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$, which contain more information than the corresponding cohomology classes on M ; for example the forms of degree greater than n do not vanish necessarily—unlike their cohomology classes. We also remark on the fact that such forms play the same role, in metric theory, than the universal characteristic forms introduced in [3] in gauge theories.

2 The geometry of the bundle of metrics

2.1 The bundle of metrics

The bundle of Riemannian metrics $q: \mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow M$ is a convex open subset in $S^2(T^*M)$ and every Riemannian metric g is identified to a global section $g: M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_M$ of this bundle.

Every system of coordinates $(U; x^i)$ on M induces a system of coordinates $(q^{-1}U; x^i, y_{ij})$ on $\mathcal{M}_M$ by setting $g_x = y_{ij}(g_x)(dx^i)_x \otimes (dx^j)_x$, $\forall g_x \in \mathcal{M}_M$, $x \in U$. We denote by (y^{ij}) the inverse matrix of (y_{ij}) .

The diffeomorphism group of M acts in a natural way on $\mathcal{M}_M$ by automorphisms of this bundle: The natural lift of a diffeomorphism $\phi \in \text{Diff}M$ to the bundle of metrics $\bar{\phi}: \mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_M$ is defined by

$$(1) \quad \bar{\phi}(g_x) = (\phi^*)^{-1}(g_x) \in (\mathcal{M}_M)_{\phi(x)},$$

$\phi^*: S^2T_{\phi(x)}^*M \rightarrow S^2T_x^*M$ being the induced homomorphism. Hence $q \circ \bar{\phi} = \phi \circ q$. In the same way, the lift of a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is denoted by $\bar{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_M)$. If $X = X^i \partial / \partial x^i$, then

$$(2) \quad \bar{X} = X^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \sum_{i \leq j} \left(\frac{\partial X^r}{\partial x^i} y_{kj} + \frac{\partial X^k}{\partial x^j} y_{ki} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{ij}}.$$

2.2 Jets of metrics

Let $q_r: J^r\mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow M$ be the r -jet bundle of sections of $\mathcal{M}_M$ and, for every $r \geq s$, let $q_{rs}: J^r\mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow J^s\mathcal{M}_M$ be the canonical projections. For every $\phi \in \text{Diff}M$ we denote by $\bar{\phi}^{(r)}$ the natural prolongation to $J^r\mathcal{M}_M$ of the lift $\bar{\phi}$ given in (1); precisely, $\bar{\phi}^{(r)}(j_x^r g) = j_x^r (\bar{\phi} \circ g \circ \phi^{-1})$. Similarly, $\bar{X}^{(r)}$ denotes the jet prolongation of the lift $\bar{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathcal{M}_M)$ given in (2).

Let $(q_r^{-1}U; x^i, y_{ij}, y_{ij,I})$, $1 \leq |I| \leq r$, $I \in \mathbb{N}^n$, be the coordinate system induced by $(q^{-1}U; x^i, y_{ij})$; i.e., $y_{ij,I}(j_x^1 g) = (\partial^{|I|}(y_{ij} \circ g) / \partial x^I)(x)$. If $(U; x^i)$

is a normal coordinate system for the metric g centered at x , then we have $y_{ij}(j_x^1 g) = \delta_{ij}$, $y_{ij,k}(j_x^1 g) = 0$.

Let us fix a coordinate system $(U; x^i)$ centered at $x \in M$, and let $\phi \in \text{Diff } M$ be a diffeomorphism such that $\phi(x) \in U$. The equations of the transformation $\bar{\phi}^{(1)}$ are as follows:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} (\phi^{-1})^a = x^a \circ \phi^{-1}, \\ y_{ij} \circ \bar{\phi}^{(1)} = y_{ab} \left(\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1})^a}{\partial x^i} \circ \phi \right) \left(\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1})^b}{\partial x^j} \circ \phi \right), \\ y_{ij,k} \circ \bar{\phi}^{(1)} = y_{ab,c} \left(\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1})^c}{\partial x^k} \circ \phi \right) \left(\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1})^a}{\partial x^i} \circ \phi \right) \left(\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1})^b}{\partial x^j} \circ \phi \right) \\ \quad + y_{ab} \left(\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1})^b}{\partial x^j} \circ \phi \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2(\phi^{-1})^a}{\partial x^i \partial x^k} \circ \phi \right) \\ \quad + y_{ab} \left(\frac{\partial(\phi^{-1})^a}{\partial x^i} \circ \phi \right) \left(\frac{\partial^2(\phi^{-1})^b}{\partial x^j \partial x^k} \circ \phi \right). \end{cases}$$

If M is an orientable and connected manifold, we denote by $\text{Diff}^+ M$ the subgroup of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms. The manifold is said to be irreversible if $\text{Diff } M = \text{Diff}^+ M$; otherwise, the manifold is said to be reversible. Every compact, orientable and connected manifold of dimension ≤ 3 is reversible; e.g., see [7, Chapter 9, §1]. We recall the following result about extending diffeomorphisms (see [7, 10]):

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\phi: U \rightarrow U$ be a diffeomorphism defined on an open neighbourhood of x in an orientable differentiable manifold M such that $\phi(x) = x$. If M is irreversible we further assume $\phi_{*,x} \in \text{Gl}^+(T_x M)$. Then a global diffeomorphism $\tilde{\phi} \in \text{Diff } M$ exists coinciding with ϕ on a neighbourhood of x .*

$\text{Diff}^+ M$ (and hence $\text{Diff } M$) acts transitively on every orientable connected manifold M . Even more, as a simple consequence of the existence of normal coordinates and Lemma 2.1 we have

Proposition 2.2. *If M is an orientable and connected manifold, then the group $\text{Diff}^+ M$ acts transitively on $J^1 \mathcal{M}_M$.*

Let $\theta \in \Omega^1(J^1 \mathcal{M}_M, S^2(T^* M))$ be the structure form of $J^1 \mathcal{M}_M$ (see [9]), where we use the canonical identification $V(\mathcal{M}_M) \simeq \mathcal{M}_M \times_M S^2(T^* M)$; i.e., $\theta_{j_x^1 g}(X) = (q_{10})_*(X) - g_*(q_{1*}(X))$, $\forall X \in T_{j_x^1 g} J^1 \mathcal{M}_M$. In local coordinates,

$$(4) \quad \theta = (dy_{ij} - y_{ij,k} dx^k) \otimes dx^i \otimes dx^j.$$

The bundle $q_1^* TM = J^1 \mathcal{M}_M \times_M TM \rightarrow J^1 \mathcal{M}_M$, obtained by pulling TM back via $q_1: J^1 \mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow M$, is endowed with a universal metric given by $\mathbf{g}((j_x^1 g, X), (j_x^1 g, Y)) = g_x(X, Y)$, $\forall X, Y \in T_x M$, which satisfies the following universal property: $(j^1 g)^* \mathbf{g} = g$, for every Riemannian metric g on M . By means of this metric, we can identify $q_1^* TM$ to $q_1^* T^* M$.

If $\alpha \in \Omega^\bullet(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, T^*M)$, the element of $\Omega^\bullet(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, TM)$ corresponding to α under this identification, is denoted by $\mathbf{g}^{-1}\alpha$. We have

$$q_1^*(\text{End}TM) \simeq q_1^*(\otimes^2 T^*M) = q_1^*(S^2 T^*M) \oplus q_1^*(\wedge^2 T^*M).$$

Let $\text{End}_S TM$ (resp. $\text{End}_A TM$) be the image of $q_1^*(S^2 T^*M)$ (resp. $q_1^*(\wedge^2 T^*M)$) in $q_1^*(\text{End}TM)$ under the previous isomorphism. We have

$$(5) \quad \vartheta = \mathbf{g}^{-1}\theta \in \Omega^1(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, \text{End}_S TM).$$

If $\alpha \in \Omega^r(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, \text{End}TM)$, then a decomposition exists such that $\alpha = \alpha_S + \alpha_A$, where the forms $\alpha_S \in \Omega^r(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, \text{End}_S TM)$, $\alpha_A \in \Omega^r(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, \text{End}_A TM)$ are called the symmetric and anti-symmetric parts of α , respectively.

3 Natural connections

3.1 Linear frame bundles

Let $\pi: FM \rightarrow M$ be the linear frame bundle of M , and let (x^h, x_j^i) be the coordinate system induced on $\pi^{-1}U$ by a coordinate system $(U; x^h)$ in M ; i.e., $u = ((\partial/\partial x^1)_x, \dots, (\partial/\partial x^n)_x) \cdot (x_j^i(u))$, $\forall u \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, $\forall x \in U$. The lift of $\phi \in \text{Diff}M$ to FM is denoted by $\tilde{\phi}: FM \rightarrow FM$, $\tilde{\phi}(u) = \phi_*(u)$. Analogously, $\tilde{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(FM)$ stands for the lift of $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$. Let $q_1^*FM = J^1\mathcal{M}_M \times_M FM$ be the pull-back of FM to $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ via $q_1: J^1\mathcal{M}_M \rightarrow M$. There are two canonical projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} q_1^*FM & \xrightarrow{\bar{q}_1} & FM \\ \bar{\pi} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\ J^1\mathcal{M}_M & \xrightarrow{q_1} & M \end{array}$$

The first projection $\bar{\pi}: q_1^*FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ is a principal $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle with respect to the induced action, given by $(j_x^1 g, u) \cdot A = (j_x^1 g, u \cdot A)$, $\forall j_x^1 g \in J_x^1\mathcal{M}_M$, $\forall u \in F_x M$, $\forall A \in Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$, and the second projection $\bar{q}_1: q_1^*FM \rightarrow FM$ is $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -equivariant.

The diffeomorphism group $\text{Diff}M$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_M$ and on FM as explained above; hence it acts on q_1^*FM by the induced action. If $\phi \in \text{Diff}M$ its lift to q_1^*FM is $\hat{\phi} = (\bar{\phi}^{(1)}, \tilde{\phi})$. Similarly, if $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ we denote by $\hat{X}$ its lift to q_1^*FM . As $\bar{q}_1$ is a $\text{Diff}M$ -equivariant map we have $(\bar{q}_1)_*(\hat{X}) = \tilde{X}$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we define $\varphi_t \in \text{Aut}FM$ (resp. $\bar{\varphi}_t \in \text{Diff}(J^1\mathcal{M}_M)$, resp. $\hat{\varphi}_t \in \text{Aut}(q_1^*FM)$) by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t(u) &= \exp(-\tfrac{t}{2}) \cdot u, \\ \bar{\varphi}_t(j_x^1 g) &= j_x^1(\exp(t) \cdot g), \\ \hat{\varphi}_t(j_x^1 g, u) &= (j_x^1(\exp(t) \cdot g), \exp(-\tfrac{t}{2}) \cdot u). \end{aligned}$$

We denote by $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(FM)$ (resp. $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(J^1\mathcal{M}_M)$, resp. $\hat{\xi} \in \mathfrak{X}(q_1^*FM)$) the infinitesimal generator of the 1-parameter group (φ_t) (resp. $(\bar{\varphi}_t)$, resp. $(\hat{\varphi}_t)$) defined above. We have $q_{1*}(\xi) = 0$, $\bar{q}_{1*}(\hat{\xi}) = \xi$, and $\bar{\pi}_*(\hat{\xi}) = \xi$. Hence, the group

$\mathcal{G} = \text{Diff}M \times \mathbb{R}$ acts on the principal $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle $\bar{\pi}: q_1^*FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ by automorphisms. If $(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{G}$, we set $\hat{\phi}_t = \hat{\phi} \circ \hat{\phi}_t = \hat{\phi}_t \circ \hat{\phi}$. This action induces a $\mathcal{G}$ -action on the associated bundles to q_1^*FM , (such as q_1^*TM , $q_1^*T^*M$, etc.), and on the space of sections and differential forms with values on such bundles.

Proposition 3.1. *The universal metric $\mathbf{g} \in \Omega^0(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, S^2T^*M)$ on the bundle q_1^*TM , is invariant under the action of the group $\mathcal{G} = \text{Diff}M \times \mathbb{R}$ defined above.*

Proof. Let $\bar{\mathbf{g}} \in \Omega^0(q_1^*FM, S^2(\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$ be the $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -invariant function on q_1^*FM corresponding to $\mathbf{g}$. Let (e_i) be the standard basis on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let (e^i) be its dual basis. For every $j_x^1g \in J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ and every frame $u_x = (X_1, \dots, X_n) \in FM_x$ we have $\bar{\mathbf{g}}(j_x^1g, u_x) = g_x(X_i, X_j)e^i \otimes e^j$. Hence, for every $(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{G}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\phi}_t^* \bar{\mathbf{g}})(j_x^1g, u_x) &= \bar{\mathbf{g}}(\bar{\phi}_t^{(1)}(j_x^1g), \tilde{\phi}_t u_x) \\ &= (\phi_t^{-1})^* g_{\phi(x)}(\phi_{t*}X_i, \phi_{t*}X_j)e^i \otimes e^j \\ &= g_x(X_i, X_j)e^i \otimes e^j \\ &= \bar{\mathbf{g}}(j_x^1g, u_x). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2 The horizontal Levi-Civita connection

We denote by $\pi: F_gM \rightarrow M$ the orthonormal frame bundle with respect to a Riemannian metric g on M , which is a reduction of group $O(n)$ of the bundle FM . We denote by Γ^g the Levi-Civita connection of g ; i.e., the only symmetric connection on F_gM . If there is no risk of confusion we also denote by Γ^g its direct image with respect to the canonical injection $F_gM \hookrightarrow FM$ (see [8, II. Proposition 6.1]). Analogously, ω^g denotes the connection form of both connections, and ∇^g the covariant derivation law with respect to Γ^g on the associated vector bundles.

Proposition 3.2. *The $\mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ -valued 1-form on q_1^*FM defined by*

$$\omega_{\text{hor}}(X) = \omega^g((\bar{q}_1)_*X), \quad \forall X \in T_{(j_x^1g, u)}(q_1^*FM),$$

*is a connection form on the principal $Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ -bundle $\bar{\pi}: q_1^*FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$.*

Proof. The definition makes sense as $\omega^g|_{\pi^{-1}(x)}$ depends only on j_x^1g . We check the two characteristic properties of a connection form.

(1) For every $A \in \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{\text{hor}}(A_{(j_x^1g, u)}^*) &= \omega^g((\bar{q}_1)_*A_{(j_x^1g, u)}^*) && \text{by the definition of } \omega_{\text{hor}} \\ &= \omega^g(A_u^*) && \text{as } \bar{q}_1 \text{ is equivariant} \\ &= A && \text{as } \omega^g \text{ is a connection form} \end{aligned}$$

(2) For every $A \in Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $X \in TFM_{J^1\mathcal{M}_M}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(R_A^* \omega_{\text{hor}})(X) &= \omega_{\text{hor}}((R_A)_* X) \\
&= \omega^g((\bar{q}_1)_*(R_A)_* X) \\
&= \omega^g((R_A)_*(\bar{q}_1)_* X) && \text{as } \bar{q}_1 \text{ is equivariant} \\
&= (R_A^* \omega^g)((\bar{q}_1)_* X) \\
&= (\text{Ad}_{A^{-1}} \circ \omega^g)((\bar{q}_1)_* X) && \text{as } \omega^g \text{ is a connection form} \\
&= (\text{Ad}_{A^{-1}} \circ \omega_{\text{hor}})(X).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.3. The bundle of orthonormal frames cannot be used in the preceding definition as it depends on the metric chosen. Below, we show that, in fact, ω_{hor} is not reducible to the bundle of orthonormal frames, although a new connection form ω can be defined, which will be reducible to this bundle.

The connection ω_{hor} induces a derivation law $\nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}}}$ in the associated bundles to $q_1^* FM$; in particular, on $q_1^* TM$, $q_1^* T^* M$, etc. In local coordinates we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}}} X &= (dX^i + \Gamma_{jk}^i X^k dx^j) \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \\
\nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}}} \alpha &= (d\alpha_i - \Gamma_{ji}^k \alpha_k dx^j) \otimes dx^i,
\end{aligned}$$

$$(6) \quad \Omega_{\text{hor}} = (d\Gamma_{jk}^i \wedge dx^k + \Gamma_{as}^i \Gamma_{jr}^a dx^s \wedge dx^r) dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},$$

where $X = X^i \partial / \partial x^i \in \Omega^0(J^1 \mathcal{M}_M, TM)$, $\alpha = \alpha_i dx^i \in \Omega^0(J^1 \mathcal{M}_M, T^* M)$,

$$(7) \quad \Gamma_{jk}^i = \frac{1}{2} y^{ia} (y_{aj,k} + y_{ak,j} - y_{jk,a}),$$

and Ω_{hor} is the curvature form of ω_{hor} .

Proposition 3.4. *The connection form ω_{hor} satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *If $\sigma_g: FM \rightarrow q_1^* FM$ is the equivariant section induced by a Riemannian metric g (i.e., $\sigma_g(u_x) = (j_x^1 g, u_x)$), then $\sigma_g^* \omega_{\text{hor}}$ is the Levi-Civita connection form of g .*
- (2) *The form ω_{hor} is invariant under the action of the group $\mathcal{G} = \text{Diff} M \times \mathbb{R}$ on $q_1^* FM$.*
- (3) $\nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}}} \mathbf{g} = \theta$.

Proof. (1) Let $X \in T_{u_x} FM$. As $\bar{q}_1 \circ \sigma_g = \text{id}_{FM}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_g^* \omega_{\text{hor}})(X) &= \omega_{\text{hor}}(\sigma_{g*}(X)) \\
&= \omega^g(\bar{q}_{1*} \sigma_{g*}(X)) \\
&= \omega^g((\bar{q}_1 \circ \sigma_g)_*(X)) \\
&= \omega^g(X).
\end{aligned}$$

(2) First recall that if $\phi \in \text{Diff} M$ and ω^g is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g , then $(\tilde{\phi}^{-1})^* \omega^g = \omega^{\tilde{\phi} \cdot g}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $\tilde{\phi} \cdot g = \tilde{\phi} \circ g \circ \phi^{-1}$. In the same way, if $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(\tilde{\varphi}_t^{-1})^* \omega^g$ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric $\exp(t) \cdot g$. From the definition of ω_{hor} , for every $X \in T_{(j_x^1 g, u)} q_1^* FM$, and $(\phi, t) \in \mathcal{G}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\hat{\phi}_t^* \omega_{\text{hor}})(X) &= (\omega_{\text{hor}})(\hat{\phi}_{t*} X) \\ &= \omega^{\phi \cdot g}(\bar{q}_{1*} \hat{\phi}_{t*} X) \\ &= \left((\tilde{\phi}_t^{-1})^* \omega^g \right) (\tilde{\phi}_{t*} \bar{q}_{1*} X) \\ &= \omega^g(\bar{q}_{1*} X) \\ &= \omega_{\text{hor}}(X). \end{aligned}$$

(3) In local coordinates, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}}} \mathbf{g} &= (dy_{ij} - (y_{aj}^a \Gamma_{ki}^a + y_{ai}^a \Gamma_{kj}^a) dx^k) \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^i \\ &= (dy_{ij} - y_{ij,k} dx^k) \otimes dx^j \otimes dx^i, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the equation

$$(8) \quad y_{ij,k} = y_{aj} \Gamma_{ki}^a + y_{ai} \Gamma_{kj}^a,$$

and we conclude by virtue of the formula (4). $\square$

3.3 The universal Levi-Civita connection

The connections on $q_1^* FM$ are an affine space modelled over $\Omega^1(J^1 \mathcal{M}_M, \text{End} TM)$. Furthermore, as $\vartheta \in \Omega^1(J^1 \mathcal{M}_M, \text{End} TM)$, we can define a connection form on $q_1^* FM$ as follows:

$$\omega = \omega_{\text{hor}} + \frac{1}{2} \vartheta.$$

The connection form ω is called the universal Levi-Civita connection.

The following lemma can be proved by computing in local coordinates:

Lemma 3.5. *If $\alpha \in \Omega^1(J^1 \mathcal{M}_M, \text{End} TM)$, then $\nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}} + \alpha} \mathbf{g} = \nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}}} \mathbf{g} - 2\alpha_{\mathbf{g}}$.*

The next theorem states the basic properties of the universal Levi-Civita connection and it is analogous to Proposition 3.4.

Theorem 3.6. *The connection form ω satisfies the following properties:*

- (1) *With the notations of Proposition 3.4, for any Riemannian metric g , the form $\sigma_g^* \omega$ is the Levi-Civita connection form of g .*
- (2) *The form ω is invariant under the action of $\mathcal{G} = \text{Diff} M \times \mathbb{R}$ on $q_1^* FM$.*
- (3) *If ∇^ω is the derivation law induced by ω , then*

$$(9) \quad \nabla^\omega \mathbf{g} = 0.$$

Proof. (1) Follows from Proposition 3.4 and from the fact that $(j^1g)^*\vartheta = 0$.

(2) From Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.4-(2), we know that ω_{hor} and $\mathbf{g}$ are $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant. Moreover, from Proposition 3.4-(3) we have $\theta = \nabla^{\omega_{\text{hor}}} \mathbf{g}$, and hence θ , as well as $\vartheta = \mathbf{g}^{-1}\theta$, are also $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant. Hence $\omega = \omega_{\text{hor}} + \frac{1}{2}\vartheta$ is $\mathcal{G}$ -invariant.

(3) It is a consequence of Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.4-(3). $\square$

Let $OM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ be the reduction of q_1^*FM to the subgroup $O(n)$ given by $OM = \{(u_x, j_x^1g) \in q_1^*FM : u_x \text{ is } g_x\text{-orthonormal}\}$.

The following result shows the advantage of ω over ω_{hor} .

Proposition 3.7. *The connection ω is reducible to a connection on OM .*

Proof. It follows from [8, III, Proposition 1.5] and the formula (9). $\square$

Proposition 3.8. *If Ω is the curvature form of ω , then we have*

$$(10) \quad \Omega = (\Omega_{\text{hor}})_A - \frac{1}{2}\vartheta \wedge \vartheta.$$

Proof. As $\omega = \omega_{\text{hor}} + \frac{1}{2}\vartheta$, we have $\Omega = \Omega_{\text{hor}} + \frac{1}{2}d^{\omega_{\text{hor}}}\vartheta + \frac{1}{4}\vartheta \wedge \vartheta$. Hence, it suffices to prove $d^{\omega_{\text{hor}}}\vartheta = -\vartheta \wedge \vartheta - 2(\Omega_{\text{hor}})_S$. Let (x^i) be a system of normal coordinate for g centered at x . By taking the covariant exterior differential with respect to ω_{hor} in the local expression $\vartheta = y^{ia}(dy_{aj} - y_{aj,k}dx^k) \otimes dx^j \otimes \partial/\partial x^i$, and evaluating it at j_x^1g , we have

$$(11) \quad (d^{\omega_{\text{hor}}}\vartheta)_{j_x^1g} = (dy^{ia} \wedge dy_{aj} - dy_{ij,k} \wedge dx^k)_{j_x^1g} \otimes \left(dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{j_x^1g}.$$

Taking the exterior differential in $y^{ia}y_{aj} = \delta_j^i$ we obtain $dy^{ia} = -y^{ib}dy_{bj}y^{jb}$, and taking the exterior differential in the formula (8) and evaluating at j_x^1g we have $(dy_{ij,k})_{j_x^1g} = (d\Gamma_{jk}^i)_{j_x^1g} + (d\Gamma_{ik}^j)_{j_x^1g}$. Substituting these expressions in (11) and taking the formula (6) into account we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (d^{\omega_{\text{hor}}}\vartheta)_{j_x^1g} &= - \left(dy_{ia} \wedge dy_{aj} + \left(d\Gamma_{jk}^i + d\Gamma_{ik}^j \right) \wedge dx^k \right)_{j_x^1g} \otimes \left(dx^j \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \right)_{j_x^1g} \\ &= -\vartheta_{j_x^1g} \wedge \vartheta_{j_x^1g} - 2((\Omega_{\text{hor}})_S)_{j_x^1g}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

4 Universal Pontryagin and Euler forms

Let $\mathcal{I}_d^G$ denote the Weil invariant polynomials of degree d for the Lie group G , see [8, XII]. As $OM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ is a principal $O(n)$ -bundle and ω is a connection form on this bundle, the Chern-Weil construction of the characteristic classes provides us a closed differential $(2d)$ -form $f(\Omega)$ on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$, by applying a Weil

polynomial $f \in \mathcal{I}_d^{O(n)}$ to the curvature $\mathbf{\Omega}$ of ω . As is well known (e.g., see [6, 8]), $\mathcal{I}^{O(n)}$ is spanned by the polynomials $p_k \in \mathcal{I}_{2k}^{O(n)}$, $1 \leq k \leq [\frac{n}{2}]$, characterized by

$$\det \left(\lambda I - \frac{1}{2\pi} X \right) = \sum p_k(X) \lambda^{n-2k}, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{so}(n).$$

We define the universal k -Pontryagin form of M as $p_k(\mathbf{\Omega}) \in \Omega^{4k}(J^1\mathcal{M}_M)$. Moreover, assuming M is connected and oriented, we have a principal $SO(n)$ -bundle over $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$, $O^+M = \{(u_x, j_x^1 g) \in OM : u_x \text{ is positively oriented}\}$, and ω is reducible to O^+M . A well-known result (e.g., see [6, Chapter 8], [8, XII, Theorem 2.7]) states that $\mathcal{I}^{SO(n)}$ is generated by the polynomials $\{p_k\}$ for odd n , and by $\{p_k, \text{Pf}\}$ for even n , where $\text{Pf} \in \mathcal{I}_{n/2}^{SO(n)}$ denotes the Pfaffian. For every even dimension $n = \dim M$, we define the universal Euler form of M by setting

$$E = (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{Pf}(\mathbf{\Omega}) \in \Omega^n(J^1\mathcal{M}_M).$$

From the identity $(2\pi)^{-n} \text{Pf}^2 = p_{n/2}$ we deduce $E \wedge E = p_{n/2}(\mathbf{\Omega})$. The properties of ω lead us readily to the following

Proposition 4.1. *We have*

- (1) *The universal Pontryagin forms and the universal Euler form are closed.*
- (2) *The universal Pontryagin forms $p_k(\mathbf{\Omega})$ (resp. the universal Euler form E) are invariant under the action of $\text{Diff } M \times \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\text{Diff}^+ M \times \mathbb{R}$) on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$.*
- (3) *For any Riemannian metric g on M , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (j^1 g)^*(p_k(\mathbf{\Omega})) &= p_k(\Omega^g), \\ (j^1 g)^*(E) &= (2\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \text{Pf}(\Omega^g), \end{aligned}$$

where Ω^g denotes the curvature of the Levi-Civita connection ω^g of g .

Remark 4.2. The Euler form is not invariant under the elements of $\text{Diff}^- M$ (recall that $O^+(M)$ is invariant under $\text{Diff}^+ M$, but not under $\text{Diff}^-(M)$). In fact, for any $\phi \in \text{Diff}^- M$ we have $\bar{\phi}^{(1)*}(E) = -E$.

The relation between the universal Pontryagin and Euler forms on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ and the usual Pontryagin and Euler classes on M is the same as the relation between characteristic forms and classes on the bundle of connections of a principal bundle (e.g., see [3]): The map $q_1^*: H^\bullet(M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(J^1\mathcal{M}_M)$ is an isomorphism with inverse map $(j^1 g)^*: H^\bullet(J^1\mathcal{M}_M) \rightarrow H^\bullet(M)$, for any Riemannian metric g on M , and by 4.1–(3) the k -Pontryagin (resp. Euler) class of M is the image under this isomorphism of the cohomology class of the universal k -Pontryagin (resp. Euler) form.

As in the case of the bundle of connections of a principal bundle, the Pontryagin forms contain more information than the Pontryagin classes. For example, if $4k > n$, the k -Pontryagin class vanishes, but the corresponding form does not

necessarily, as $\dim J^1\mathcal{M}_M > n$. For example, if $n = 2$, then the first Pontryagin form $p_1(\Omega) \in \Omega^4(J^1\mathcal{M}_M)$ does not vanish, whereas the first Pontryagin class vanishes by dimensional reasons. According to [5], these higher-order Pontryagin forms can be interpreted as closed Diff^+M -invariant differential forms on the space of Riemannian metrics on M . In a forthcoming paper, we shall study these forms and their extension to equivariant cohomology in a similar way as done in [5] for the characteristic forms on the bundle of connections.

5 The universal Levi-Civita connection characterized

Theorem 5.1. *The universal Levi-Civita connection ω is the only $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection form on $q_1^*FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ satisfying the condition (9). In other words, the form ω is the only $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection form on the bundle $OM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$.*

The proof of this theorem is based in the following

Lemma 5.2. *The $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant 1-forms on $J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ with values on $\otimes^2 T^*M$ are $\lambda\theta + \mu\text{tr}\vartheta \otimes \mathbf{g}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Equivalently, the only $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection forms on the bundle $q_1^*FM \rightarrow J^1\mathcal{M}_M$ are $\omega + \lambda\theta + \mu\text{tr}\vartheta \otimes \text{id}_{TM}$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof of Theorem 5.1. The universal Levi-Civita connection ω satisfies the conditions of the statement by virtue of Theorem 3.6. Conversely, let us suppose that ω is another $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant connection on q_1^*FM . Then, we have $\omega = \omega + \alpha$, with $\alpha \in \Omega^1(J^1\mathcal{M}_M, \text{End}TM)$. Clearly, α is $\text{Diff}M$ -invariant, and from Lemma 5.2 we have $\alpha = \lambda\vartheta + \mu\text{tr}\vartheta \otimes \text{id}_{TM}$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence $\nabla^\omega \mathbf{g} = -2(\lambda\theta + \mu\text{tr}\vartheta \otimes \mathbf{g})$, and consequently, ω satisfies the condition (9) if and only if $\lambda = \mu = 0$; i.e., if and only if $\omega = \omega$. $\square$

Next, we state some necessary results to prove Lemma 5.2. First of all, we recall the following:

Theorem 5.3 ([1, 11]). (Fundamental theorem of the invariant theory for the orthogonal group) *Let $(v, w) \mapsto \langle v, w \rangle$ be the standard scalar product on $V = \mathbb{R}^n$, allowing us to identify V with its dual space. We consider the tensorial representation of $O(n)$ on $\otimes^k V$. Then, we have*

- (1) *For k odd, the unique $O(n)$ -invariant element of $\otimes^k V$ is the zero element.*
- (2) *For $k = 2l$ even, the subspace of $O(n)$ -invariant elements on $\otimes^k V$ is generated by the following invariant linear forms*

$$\varphi_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2l-1}, i_{2l}}(v_1, \dots, v_{2l}) = \langle v_{i_1}, v_{i_2} \rangle \cdots \langle v_{i_{2l-1}}, v_{i_{2l}} \rangle,$$

where $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2l-1}, i_{2l}$ stands for an arbitrary permutation of the set of indices $1, 2, \dots, 2l - 1, 2l$.

Theorem 5.4 ([11]). (Fundamental theorem of the invariant theory for the special orthogonal group) *With the previous notations, for $k < n$, the $SO(n)$ -invariants on $\otimes^k V$ coincide with $O(n)$ -invariants. For $k = n$, the space of $SO(n)$ -invariants is generated by the space of $O(n)$ -invariants and $\wedge^n V$.*

Remark 5.5. For $k = 4$, from Theorem 5.3 we conclude that the $O(n)$ -invariants are generated by the following tensors:

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_1 &= \sum_{i,j} e_i \otimes e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_j, \\ \xi_2 &= \sum_{i,j} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_i \otimes e_j, \\ \xi_3 &= \sum_{i,j} e_i \otimes e_j \otimes e_j \otimes e_i.\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5.6. *Let $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ be the standard orthonormal base in $V = \mathbb{R}^n$. Consider the $O(n)$ -module $E = \otimes^3 V \oplus (S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^2 V)) \oplus (S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^3 V))$.*

(1) *The invariant elements under the action of $O(n)$ on E are*

$$(12) \quad \eta = \lambda \sum_{i,j} e_i \odot e_i \otimes e_j \odot e_j + \mu \sum_{i,j} e_i \odot e_j \otimes e_i \odot e_j, \quad \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R},$$

where $\odot$ denotes the symmetric product.

(2) *For $n \geq 4$ the $SO(n)$ -invariants on E coincide with the $O(n)$ -invariants.*

Proof. (1) Every direct summand in E is $O(n)$ -invariant; hence we need only to analyze the invariants on each summand.

From Theorem 5.3 it follows that there are no invariants on $\otimes^3 V$ and on $S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^3 V)$, and the invariants on $S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^2 V)$ are obtained by linear combination of the elements cited in Remark 5.5. Hence, they are of the form $\xi = \lambda \xi_1 + \mu \xi_2 + \nu \xi_3$. Then, $\xi \in S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^2 V)$ if and only if $\mu = \nu$, and we obtain (12).

(2) For $n > 5$ the result follows from Theorem 5.4. For $n = 5$, from Theorem 5.4 it follows that $O(n)$ -invariants and $SO(n)$ -invariants coincide on $\otimes^3 V$ and on $S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^2 V)$. Moreover, since we have $\wedge^5 V \cap (S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^3 V)) = 0$, the same conclusion holds for the remaining summand $S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^3 V)$. Finally, for $n = 4$, again from Theorem 5.4 and the fact that $\wedge^4 V \cap (S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^2 V)) = 0$, it follows that there are no new invariant on $\otimes^3 V$ or on $S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^3 V)$. Also, as $-\text{id}_V \in SO(4)$ and $(-\text{id}_V) \cdot (\eta) = -\eta$ for all $\eta \in S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^3 V)$, we conclude that no new invariant appears on $S^2 V \otimes (\otimes^3 V)$, and the result follows. $\square$

Proof of Lemma 5.2. Let us fix a point $z_0 = j_{x_0}^1 g_0 \in J^1 \mathcal{M}_M$, and let us consider a normal coordinate system $(U; x^i)$ centered at x_0 for the metric g_0 . The expression of a covector $\eta \in \Omega^1(J^1 \mathcal{M}_M, \otimes^2 T^* M)$ at z_0 on this coordinate system is $\eta_{z_0} = (\lambda_{ab,i} dx^i + \lambda_{ab}^{ij} dy_{ij} + \lambda_{ab}^{ijk} dy_{ij,k})_{z_0} \otimes (dx^a)_{z_0} \otimes (dx^b)_{z_0}$.

For reversible M we set $G = SO(n)$ and for irreversible M , $G = O(n)$. Given $A \in G$, we define a local diffeomorphism $\varphi_A: U \rightarrow M$ around x_0 by

$\varphi_A^i(x) = A_j^i x^j$, $\forall x \in U$. As φ_A is a linear transformation, from the expression (3) we deduce $\overline{\varphi_A}(j_{x_0}^1 g_0) = j_{x_0}^1 g_0$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\varphi_A}^{(1)*}(dx^i)_{z_0} &= (A^{-1})_a^i (dx^a)_{z_0} = \sum_a A_i^a (dx^a)_{z_0}, \\ \overline{\varphi_A}^{(1)*}(dy_{ij})_{z_0} &= A_i^a A_j^b (dy_{ab})_{z_0}, \\ \overline{\varphi_A}^{(1)*}(dy_{ij,k})_{z_0} &= A_i^a A_j^b A_k^c (dy_{ab,c})_{z_0}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence the map

$$\begin{aligned}(dx^i)_{z_0} &\longmapsto e_i, \\ (dy_{ij})_{z_0} &\longmapsto e_i \odot e_j, \\ (dy_{ij,k})_{z_0} &\longmapsto e_i \odot e_j \otimes e_k,\end{aligned}$$

determines a G -module isomorphism between $T_{z_0}^* J^1 \mathcal{M}_M \otimes (\otimes^2 T_{x_0}^* M)$ and the space E in the statement of Proposition 5.6. The local diffeomorphism φ_A satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.1 and, hence, there exists $\phi_A \in \text{Diff} M$ extending φ_A on a neighbourhood of x_0 . As η is $\text{Diff} M$ -invariant we have $\overline{\phi_A}^{(1)*}(\eta) = \eta$, and hence $\overline{\varphi_A}^{(1)*}(\eta_{z_0}) = \eta_{z_0}$ for every $A \in G$. As $n = \dim M \geq 4$ for an irreversible M , from Proposition 5.6, for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\eta_{z_0} &= \lambda \sum_{i,j} (dy_{ij})_{z_0} \otimes (dx^i)_{z_0} \otimes (dx^j)_{z_0} + \mu \sum_{i,j} (dy_{ii})_{z_0} \otimes (dx^j)_{z_0} \otimes (dx^j)_{z_0} \\ &= \lambda \theta_{z_0} + \mu (\text{tr} \vartheta \otimes \mathbf{g})_{z_0},\end{aligned}$$

As the point $z_0 \in J^1 \mathcal{M}_M$ is arbitrary, for certain smooth functions a, b on $J^1 \mathcal{M}_M$ we have $\eta = a\theta + b \text{tr} \vartheta \otimes \mathbf{g}$. As η , θ and $\text{tr} \vartheta \otimes \mathbf{g}$ are $\text{Diff} M$ -invariant, a and b are also $\text{Diff} M$ -invariant and, by virtue of Proposition 2.2, they are constant. $\square$

Remark 5.7. The characterization of the connection ω given on Theorem 5.1 does not hold for higher-order jet bundles. In fact, below we sketch the proof of the existence of a natural 1-form $\alpha \in \Omega^1(J^3 \mathcal{M}_M, \text{End}_A TM)$. Hence, $\omega + \alpha$ is a $\text{Diff} M$ -invariant connection form on $q_3^* FM$, which also satisfies the condition (9), by virtue of Lemma 3.5. Let

$$\begin{aligned}\theta^3 &= (dy_{ij} - y_{ij,r} dx^r) \otimes \partial / \partial y_{ij} + (dy_{ij,k} - y_{ij,kr} dx^r) \otimes \partial / \partial y_{ij,k} \\ &\quad + (dy_{ij,kl} - y_{ij,klr} dx^r) \otimes \partial / \partial y_{ij,kl}, \quad i \leq j, k \leq l,\end{aligned}$$

be the $(q_{32})^* V(q_2)$ -valued 1-form on $J^3 \mathcal{M}_M$ defining its contact structure (cf. [9]). We first notice the natural exact sequence of vector bundles over $J^2 \mathcal{M}_M$,

$$0 \rightarrow (q_2)^* (S^2 T^* M \otimes S^2 T^* M) \xrightarrow{\iota_2} (q_2)^* J^2 (S^2 T^* M) \rightarrow (q_2)^* J^1 (S^2 T^* M) \rightarrow 0$$

splits naturally, as a retract $\rho_2: (q_2)^* J^2 (S^2 T^* M) \rightarrow (q_2)^* (S^2 T^* M \otimes S^2 T^* M)$ exists of ι_2 given by,

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_2(j_x^2 g, j_x^2 h)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^g)_x^2(h)(X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^g)_x^2(h)(X_4, X_3, X_1, X_2),\end{aligned}$$

for all $j_x^2 g \in J_x^2(\mathcal{M}_M)$, $j_x^2 h \in J_x^2(S^2 T^* M)$, and $X_1, \dots, X_4 \in T_x M$. Let $c_{24}: (q_2)^* \otimes^4 T^* M \rightarrow (q_2)^* \otimes^2 T^* M$ be the metric contraction of the second and fourth arguments, i.e., $c_{24}(j_x^2 g, X_1 \otimes X_2 \otimes X_3 \otimes X_4) = (j_x^2 g, g(X_2, X_4) X_1 \otimes X_3)$. By using the canonical vector-bundle isomorphism $V(q_2) \cong (q_2)^* J^2(S^2 T^* M)$, the form we are looking for, is defined as follows: $\alpha = (c_{24} \circ \rho_2 \circ \theta^3)_A$.

References

- [1] M. Atiyah, R. Bott, V. K. Patodi, *On the Heat Equation and the Index Theorem*, Inventiones Math. **19** (1973), 279–330.
- [2] M. Castrillón López, J. Muñoz Masqué, *The geometry of the bundle of connections*, Math. Z. **236** (2001), 797–811.
- [3] —, *Gauge interpretation of characteristic classes*, Math. Res. Lett. **8** (2001), 457–468.
- [4] D. B. A. Epstein *Natural tensors on Riemannian manifolds*, J. Differential Geom. **10** (1975) 631–645.
- [5] R. Ferreiro Pérez, *Equivariant Characteristic forms in the bundle of connections*, preprint arXiv: math-ph/0307022 (to appear in J. Geom. Phys.)
- [6] V. Guillemin, S. Sternberg, *Supersymmetry and Equivariant de Rham Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1999.
- [7] M. W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **33**, Springer-Verlag New York Inc., New York, 1976.
- [8] S. Kobayashi, K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (Interscience Division), New York, Volume I, 1963; Volume II, 1969.
- [9] J. Muñoz Masqué, *Formes de structure et transformations infinitésimales de contact d’ordre supérieur*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **298**, Série I, no. 8, (1984), 185–188.
- [10] R. Palais, *Extending diffeomorphisms*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960) 274–277.
- [11] M. Spivak, *A comprehensive Introduction to Differential Geometry*, Volume 5, Publish or Perish, Inc., Wilmington, Del., 1979.